arXiv:1605.06227v2 [math.PR] 5 Jun 2016

LOCAL CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM FOR A RANDOM WALK PERTURBED IN ONE POINT

GIUSEPPE GENOVESE AND RENATO LUCÀ

Abstract. We consider a symmetric random walk on the ν-dimensional lattice, whose exit probability from the origin is perturbed. We prove the local central limit theorem for this process, finding a short-range correction to diffusive behaviour in any dimension and a long-range correction in the one-dimensional case.

MSC: 60F05, 60G50, 60J10.

1. Statement of the Result

Let $\{\xi_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be symmetric i.i.d. random variables taking values from a probability spaces $(\Omega,\mathcal{F},\mu)$ in $\mathbb{Z}^\nu$, such that

$$\mathbb{E}[\xi_1]=0\,;\quad B_{ij}:=\mathbb{E}[\xi_{1,i}\,\xi_{1,j}]\,;\quad \mathbb{E}[\xi_{1,1}^{\alpha_1}\dots\xi_{1,\nu}^{\alpha_\nu}]<\infty,\quad |\alpha|=L,\ \text{for some } L\geqslant 3\,, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\xi_{1,i}$ is the i-th component of ξ_1 and we use the customary multi-index notation $\alpha:=(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_\nu)$, $|\alpha|:=\alpha_1+\ldots+\alpha_\nu$. The matrix B has eigenvalues $\{\sigma_i^2\}_{i=1,\dots,\nu}$ and in the one-dimensional case we simply write σ rather σ_1. We denote by S_n the random walk with increments ξ_n and we require $\{S_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ to be aperiodic and irreducible.

Moreover let $\{\eta_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be another sequence of i.i.d. random variables in $\mathbb{Z}^\nu$ fulfilling

$$\mathbb{E}[\eta_1]=:d\neq 0\,;\quad \mathbb{E}[\eta_{1,i}\,\eta_{1,j}]>0\,;\quad \mathbb{E}[\eta_{1,1}^{\alpha_1}\dots\eta_{1,\nu}^{\alpha_\nu}]<\infty,\quad |\alpha|=L,\ \text{for some } L\geqslant 3\,. \tag{1.2}$$

In this work we consider the aperiodic and irreducible Markov chain $\{X_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ on $\mathbb{Z}^\nu$ defined by

$$X_n=X_{n-1}+\xi_n+(\eta_n-\xi_n)\delta_{X_{n-1},0}\,,\quad X_0=x_0\,. \tag{1.3}$$

Away from the origin this is just the symmetric random walk. Every time it hits zero, it exits with a different probability given by

$$\mathbb{P}(X_n=x\,|\,X_{n-1}=0)=\mathbb{P}(\eta_1=x)\,.$$

We set

$$p(x):=\mathbb{P}(\xi_1=x)\,,\quad q(x):=\mathbb{P}(\eta_1=x)\,,$$

and

$$a(x):=\mathbb{P}(\eta_1=x)-\mathbb{P}(\xi_1=x)=q(x)-p(x)\,,$$

so that we can conveniently represent the transition probability for X_n as

$$\mathbb{P}(X_n=x\,|\,X_{n-1}=y)=p(x-y)+\delta_{y,0}a(x)\,. \tag{1.4}$$

Of course

$$\sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu}a(x)=0\,,\qquad \sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu}xa(x)=d\,.$$

Moreover one can readily check that $a(x)=a(-x)$ iff $\mathbb{P}(\eta=x)=\mathbb{P}(\eta=-x)$. On the other hand antisymmetry of $a(x)$ entails

$$a(x)=q_a(x)\,,\qquad p(x)=q_s(x)\,,$$

Date: June 7, 2016.

where with q_a, q_s we respectively denote the antisymmetric and the symmetric part of $q(x)$. Throughout the paper we will assume

$$a(x) = -a(-x)\,. \tag{1.5}$$

Our main result follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $P_n(x) := \mathbb{P}(X_n = x | X_0 = 0)$. Then*

- *if $\nu = 1$*

$$P_n(x) = \frac{e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2 n}}}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2 n}} \left(1 + \frac{d}{\sigma^2}\,\mathrm{sign}(x)\right) + \psi_n^1(x) + o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right),$$

- *If $\nu = 2$*

$$P_n(x) = \frac{e^{-\frac{(x, B^{-1}x)}{2n}}}{2\pi|B|n} \left(1 + \frac{d\cdot x}{|B||x|^2}\right) + \psi_n^2(x) + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right),$$

- *if $\nu \geqslant 3$*

$$P_n(x) = \frac{e^{-\frac{(x, B^{-1}x)}{2n}}}{(2\pi|B|n)^{\frac{\nu}{2}}} + \psi_n^\nu(x) + o\left(\frac{1}{n^{\frac{\nu}{2}}}\right),$$

where $\psi_n^\nu(x)$ satisfies

$$|\psi_n^\nu(x)| \leqslant \frac{1}{n^{\nu/2}} \frac{C}{1+|x|^L}\,,$$

and the constant C depends on the first L moments of the variables ξ and η, entering in the definition (1.3) of X_n.

Despite the simplicity of this problem, to the best of our knowledge the sole two other works on it are [7] and [4]. In [7] Minlos and Zhizhina proved the local limit theorem for more general perturbation, acting in a finite neighbourhood of the origin, but a.s. bounded increments. Many years later, Boldrighini and Pellegrinotti in [4] studied the particular case of single point perturbation in one dimension, with analytic increment distribution, giving a more precise information about the terms in the leading order asymptotics.

Here we adopt a different approach, simply based on the inversion of the characteristic function, as in the usual local limit theorem for random walks (see for instance [6] or the Appendix A). We emphasise that since we use real methods, we can drop the analyticity assumptions of [7, 4]. Moreover, we do not compute sub-leading corrections to the diffusive scale, but our method in principle would allow this calculation (not without effort, though).

Related works in the more involved context of random walk in random environment are [2, 3, 1].

The antisymmetry assumption on $a(x)$ simplifies the calculations and it is not too restrictive. To see that, let us introduce the hitting times

$$\tau_0 := \inf\{n \geqslant 1 \,:\, X_n = 0\}\,, \quad \tau_0' := \inf\{n \geqslant 1 \,:\, S_n = 0\}$$

and the first return probabilities ($n \geqslant 1$)

$$f_n(x) := \mathbb{P}(\tau_0 = n | X_0 = x)\,, \qquad f(x) := \mathbb{P}(\tau_0 < \infty | X_0 = x)\,;$$
$$f_n'(x) := \mathbb{P}(\tau_0' = n | X_0 = x)\,, \qquad f'(x) := \mathbb{P}(\tau_0' < \infty | X_0 = 0)\,.$$

A simple calculation yields

$$f_n(0) = \sum_{y\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu} P_1(y) f_{n-1}(y) = \sum_{y\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu} (p(y) + a(y))\, f_{n-1}'(y) = f_n'(0) + \sum_{y\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu} a(y) f_{n-1}'(y) = f_n'(0)\,,$$

because of the antisymmetry of $a(y)$. Hence $f(0) = f'(0)$. Therefore X_n is recurrent iff S_n is so. On the other hand if $a(x)$ has a symmetric part the term

$$\sum_{y\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu} a(y) f_{n-1}'(y)$$

in general must be negative, so that the walk is transient. In this case we expect the perturbation in the origin to be less influent on the asymptotic of the probability.

Lastly, we recall some notions on two sets of orthogonal polynomials we will use (for further details we refer to [5]). We denote by $\mathrm{H}_\alpha(x)$ the Hermite polynomials on $\mathbb{R}^\nu$. The (multivariate) Rodrigues formula reads (we recall that in the one-dimensional case $\nu > 1$ α is a multi-index)

$$e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}\,\mathrm{H}_\alpha(x_1,\dots,x_\nu) = (-1)^{|\alpha|}\partial^\alpha\left(e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}\right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^\nu}\frac{\mathrm{d}\lambda}{(2\pi)^{\frac{\nu}{2}}}e^{ix\lambda}e^{-\frac{|p|^2}{2}}(i\lambda)^\alpha\,. \tag{1.6}$$

The one-dimensional Hermite polynomials form a complete set for $L^2\left(e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}dx\right)$ *i.e.*

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\mathrm{H}_n(x)\,\mathrm{H}_m(x)e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}dx = n!\delta_{nm}\,.$$

Given $f(x)\in L^2\left(e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}dx\right)$ it holds

$$f(x) = \sum_{n\geqslant 0}c_n\,\mathrm{H}_n(x)\,,$$

where c_n are given by

$$c_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}n!}\int_{\mathbb{R}}f(x)\,\mathrm{H}_n(x)e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}dx\,.$$

Moreover $\mathrm{H}_n(x) = (-1)^n\,\mathrm{H}_n(-x)$ and

$$\int_0^\infty\frac{dx}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\,\mathrm{H}_{2n+1}(x)e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} = \mathrm{H}_{2n}(0) = (-1)^n\frac{(2n)!}{2^n n!}\,. \tag{1.7}$$

Let now L_m^α denote the Laguerre polynomials. We will make use of the following relations between Hermite and Laguerre polynomials on $\mathbb{R}$

$$\mathrm{H}_{2m}(x) = (-2)^m m!L_m^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{x^2}{2}\right)\,, \tag{1.8}$$

$$\mathrm{H}_{2m+1} = (-2)^m m!xL_m^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{x^2}{2}\right)\,. \tag{1.9}$$

Finally the subsequent formulas will be employed in the next:

$$\sum_{p=1}^{\ell}L_p^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{x^2}{2}\right)L_{\ell-p}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{y^2}{2}\right) = L_\ell^1\left(\frac{x^2+y^2}{2}\right)\,, \tag{1.10}$$

and ($\psi(x) := (\log\Gamma(x))'$)

$$\sum_{\ell\geqslant 0}\frac{1}{\ell+1}L_\ell^1(x) = \frac{1}{x}\,, \tag{1.11}$$

$$\sum_{\ell\geqslant 1}\frac{1}{\ell(\ell+1)}L_\ell^1(x) = \frac{\psi(2)-\log x}{2}\,. \tag{1.12}$$

Throughout we adopt the following standard notations: $\widehat{f}(\lambda) := (2\pi)^{-\nu/2}\sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu}f(x)e^{i\lambda x}$ is the usual Fourier transform on the lattice, $X\lesssim Y$ iff there is a positive constant C such that $X\leqslant CY$ and $X_n\asymp Y_n$ iff $\lim_n X_n/Y_n = 1$.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank C. Boldrighini for suggesting the problem. G.G. is supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation. R. L. is supported by the ERC grant 277778, the MINECO grants SEV-2015-0554 and MTM2013-41780-P (Spain).

2. Proof

For antisymmetric a we get the nice representation formula

$$P_n(x) = p^{*n}(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p^{*k}(0)(a * p^{*(n-k-1)}(x))\,, \tag{2.1}$$

where p^{*n} is recursively defined by $p^{*n}(x) = (p * p^{n-1})(x)$, $p^{*0}(x) = \delta_{x,0}$. To prove it, we observe that $f_n(0) = f'_n(0)$ implies $\mathbb{P}(X_n = 0|X_0 = 0) = \mathbb{P}(S_n = 0|S_0 = 0)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} P_n(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} P_k(0) f_{n-k}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p^{*k}(0) \sum_{y\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu/\{0\}} \big(p(y) + a(y)\big) f'_{n-k-1}(0, y-x) \\ &= p^{*n}(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p^{*k}(0)(a * f'_{n-k-1}(x)). \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

The paths returning to the origin, due to the antisymmetry of $a(x)$, give a vanishing contribution to the convolution, *i.e.* $a * f'_n(x) = a * p^{*n}(x)$. Thus we recover (2.1).

Let now

$$\varphi_n(\lambda) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{\nu}{2}}} \sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu} e^{i\lambda x} P_n(x)\,. \tag{2.3}$$

Using (2.2), the characteristic function of X_n; it can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_n(\lambda) &= \widehat{p}^{\,n}(\lambda) + \phi_n(\lambda) \\ \phi_n(\lambda) &:= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p^{*k}(0)\widehat{q_a}(\lambda)\widehat{p}^{\,n-k}(\lambda)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

The formula (2.4) will be the starting point of our proof.

By Lemma A.5 (in the Appendix A) there is a $\theta > 0$ such that the Taylor expansion of $\widehat{p}(\lambda)$ is convergent for $|\lambda| < \theta$. Similarly we see that there is $\theta' > 0$ such that the same occurs for $\hat{a}(\lambda)$ as $|\lambda| < \theta'$. Let $\delta := \min(\theta, \theta')$. We split

$$\int_{[-\pi,\pi]^\nu} \frac{d^\nu\lambda}{(2\pi)^{\frac{\nu}{2}}} e^{-ix\cdot\lambda}\phi_n(\lambda) = I + II$$

with

$$I := \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} p^{*k}(0) \int_{[-\pi,+\pi]^\nu\setminus[-\delta,\delta]^\nu} \frac{d^\nu\lambda}{(2\pi)^{\frac{\nu}{2}}} e^{-ix\cdot\lambda}\widehat{p}^{\,n-k}(\lambda)\widehat{q_a}(\lambda), \tag{2.5}$$

$$II := \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} p^{*k}(0) \int_{[-\delta,\delta]^\nu} \frac{d^\nu\lambda}{(2\pi)^{\frac{\nu}{2}}} e^{-ix\cdot\lambda}\widehat{p}^{\,n-k}(\lambda)\widehat{q_a}(\lambda)\,. \tag{2.6}$$

We are going to evaluate separately these two quantities in the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. *We have*

$$I = \psi^\nu_n(x) + o\left(\frac{1}{n^{\nu/2}}\right), \qquad |\psi^\nu_n(x)| \leqslant \frac{C}{n^{\nu/2}|x|^L}, \tag{2.7}$$

where the constant C depends on the first L moments of p and q_a.

Lemma 2.2. *We have the following estimates for the term II.*

(1) *Let $\nu = 1$. Then*

$$II = \frac{e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2 n}} \frac{d}{\sigma^2}\,\mathrm{sign}(x) + o\left(\frac{1}{n^{1/2}}\right). \tag{2.8}$$

(2) *Let $\nu = 2$. Then*

$$II = -\frac{e^{-|x'|^2/2n}}{2\pi|B|n}\left(\frac{d\cdot x}{|x'|^2}\right) + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right); \tag{2.9}$$

(3) *Let $\nu \geqslant 3$. Then*

$$II = o\left(\frac{1}{n^{\nu/2}}\right); \tag{2.10}$$

According to formulas (2.3) and (2.4), this is enough to prove the main theorem by Fourier inversion. The proofs of these lemmas are presented below.

Proof of Lemma 2.1.

Given $x \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$, let j_{max} be the index for which $|x_{j_{max}}| = \max_{j=1,\dots,\nu}|x_j|$. We are going to prove

$$I = \psi_n^\nu(x) + o\left(\frac{1}{n^{\nu/2}}\right) \tag{2.11}$$

with

$$|\psi_n^\nu(x)| \leqslant \frac{1}{n^{\nu/2}}\frac{C}{|x_{j_{max}}|^L}, \tag{2.12}$$

where here and throughout $C > 0$ will be a constant, possibly increasing from line to line, that depends on the first L moments of p and q_a (that we assumed to be finite). Since the obvious inequality $x_{j_{max}} \leqslant |x| \leqslant \sqrt{\nu}x_{j_{max}}$, this is equivalent to the statement (2.7).

In order to simplify the notations, we assume letting $j_{max} = 1$. Recalling

$$I = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} p^{*k}(0)\int_{[-\pi,+\pi]^\nu\setminus[-\delta,\delta]^\nu}\frac{d^\nu\lambda}{(2\pi)^{\frac{\nu}{2}}}e^{-ix\cdot\lambda}\widehat{p}^{\,n-k}(\lambda)\widehat{q_a}(\lambda),$$

and noting

$$e^{-ix\cdot\lambda} = \frac{i^L}{x_1^L}\partial_{\lambda_1}^L e^{-ix\cdot\lambda},$$

we can integrate by parts L-times

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{[-\pi,+\pi]^\nu\setminus[-\delta,\delta]^\nu}\frac{d^\nu\lambda}{(2\pi)^{\frac{\nu}{2}}}e^{-ix\cdot\lambda}\widehat{p}^{\,n-k}(\lambda)\widehat{q_a}(\lambda) \qquad (2.13)\\
=\ &\frac{(-i)^L}{x_1^L}\int_{[-\pi,+\pi]^\nu\setminus[-\delta,\delta]^\nu}\frac{d^\nu\lambda}{(2\pi)^{\frac{\nu}{2}}}e^{-ix\cdot\lambda}\partial_{\lambda_1}^L\left(\widehat{p}^{\,n-k}(\lambda)\widehat{q_a}(\lambda)\right)\\
+\ &\frac{(i)^L}{x_1^L}\sum_{\ell=1}^{L}(-1)^\ell\int_{[-\delta,\delta]^{\nu-1}}\frac{d\lambda_2\dots d\lambda_\nu}{(2\pi)^{\frac{\nu}{2}}}\left[\left(\partial_{\lambda_1}^{L-\ell}e^{-ix\cdot\lambda}\right)\partial_{\lambda_1}^{\ell-1}(\widehat{p}^{\,n-k}(\lambda)\widehat{q_a}(\lambda))\right]_{\lambda_1=-\delta}^{\lambda_1=\delta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the boundary contributions relative to $\{\lambda_1 = \pi\}\times\partial[-\pi,\pi]^{\nu-1}$ and $\{\lambda_1 = -\pi\}\times\partial[-\pi,\pi]^{\nu-1}$ are zero since the 2π-periodicity of the functions under the integrals. Since the first L moments of q_a are bounded, the right hand side of (2.13) can be readily estimated by

$$\frac{C}{|x_1|^L}\sum_{\ell=0}^{n-k-1}\sup_{\lambda\in[-\pi,+\pi]^\nu\setminus(-\delta,\delta)^\nu}(n-k)^\ell|\widehat{p}^{\,n-k-\ell}(\lambda)|\,|\partial_{\lambda_1}^\ell\widehat{p}(\lambda)| \tag{2.14}$$

$$+\ \frac{C}{|x_1|^L}\sum_{\ell=n-k}^{L}\sup_{\lambda\in[-\pi,+\pi]^\nu\setminus(-\delta,\delta)^\nu}(n-k)^\ell|\partial_{\lambda_1}^\ell\widehat{p}(\lambda)|. \tag{2.15}$$

Since also the first L moments of p are bounded this can be estimated by

$$\frac{C}{|x_1|^L}\sum_{\ell=0}^{\min(L,n-k)}\frac{(n-k)^\ell e^{-\gamma\delta^2(n-k-\ell)}}{k^{\nu/2}},$$

where we have used (see for instance (A.6))

$$|\widehat{p}^{\,n-k-\ell}(\lambda)| \leqslant e^{-\gamma(n-k-\ell)|\lambda|^2}, \quad \text{for some} \quad \gamma > 0\,.$$

Thus, since

$$p^{*k}(0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi|B|k^\nu}} + o\left(\frac{1}{k^{\frac{\nu}{2}}}\right) \tag{2.16}$$

(see for instance Remark A.2, point *ii*) we can finally bound

$$|\psi_n(x)| \leqslant \frac{C}{x_1^L} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\min(L,n-k)} \frac{(n-k)^\ell e^{-\gamma\delta^2(n-k-\ell)}}{k^{\nu/2}}\,.$$

Using the forthcoming Lemma 2.3, it is easy to see that this sum is smaller than a multiple of $n^{-\nu/2}$, so that the bound (2.12) on $|\psi_n|$ is proved and the proof of of Lemma 2.1 is completed.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\gamma > 0$ and $\delta > 0$. Then for any $0 \leqslant \ell \leqslant n-k$, we have*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{(n-k)^\ell e^{-\gamma\delta^2(n-k-\ell)}}{k^{\nu/2}} = \frac{C}{n^{\nu/2}} + o\left(\frac{1}{n^{\nu/2}}\right). \tag{2.17}$$

The constant C depends on ℓ, ν, γ and δ. In particular, C is proportional to $\frac{1}{\gamma\delta^2}$.

Proof. We write the sum as an integral by the change of variables $\alpha = k/n$.

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{(n-k)^\ell e^{-\gamma\delta^2(n-k-\ell)}}{k^{\nu/2}} \asymp \frac{e^{\gamma\delta^2\ell}}{n^{\nu/2-\ell-1}} \int_{1/n}^{1-1/n} \frac{(1-\alpha)^\ell e^{-\gamma\delta^2 n(1-\alpha)}}{\alpha^{\nu/2}}\, d\alpha\,. \tag{2.18}$$

Then we split this integral as $A_\ell + B_\ell$ where

$$A_\ell := \frac{e^{\gamma\delta^2\ell}}{n^{\nu/2-\ell-1}} \int_{1/n}^{1/2} \frac{(1-\alpha)^\ell e^{-\gamma\delta^2 n(1-\alpha)}}{\alpha^{\nu/2}}\, d\alpha\,,$$

$$B_\ell := \frac{e^{\gamma\delta^2\ell}}{n^{\nu/2-\ell-1}} \int_{1/2}^{1-1/n} \frac{(1-\alpha)^\ell e^{-\gamma\delta^2 n(1-\alpha)}}{\alpha^{\nu/2}}\, d\alpha\,.$$

The A_ℓ are easily estimated as follows

$$A_\ell \leqslant \frac{e^{-\gamma\delta^2(n/2-\ell)}}{n^{\nu/2-\ell-1}} \int_{1/n}^{1/2} \alpha^{-\nu/2}\, d\alpha \leqslant C e^{-\gamma\delta^2(n/2-\ell)} n^\ell \cdot \begin{cases} \sqrt{n} & \text{if} \quad \nu = 1\,; \\ \ln n & \text{if} \quad \nu = 2\,; \\ 1 & \text{if} \quad \nu \geqslant 3\,. \end{cases} \tag{2.19}$$

That gives a $o\left(\frac{1}{n^{\nu/2}}\right)$ contribution.

To bound B_ℓ we proceed by induction. We first consider $\ell = 0$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} B_0 &= \frac{1}{n^{\nu/2-1}} \int_{1/2}^{1-1/n} \frac{e^{-\gamma\delta^2 n(1-\alpha)}}{\alpha^{\nu/2}}\, d\alpha \lesssim \frac{1}{n^{\nu/2-1}} \int_{1/2}^{1-1/n} e^{-\gamma\delta^2 n(1-\alpha)}\, d\alpha \\ &= \frac{1}{n^{\nu/2}\gamma\delta^2} \left[e^{-\gamma\delta^2 n(1-\alpha)}\right]_{1/2}^{1-1/n} = \frac{e^{-\gamma\delta^2}}{n^{\nu/2}} \frac{1-e^{-\gamma\delta^2\frac{n}{2}}}{\gamma\delta^2} \leqslant \frac{C}{n^{\nu/2}}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

Note that the constant here is proportional to $\frac{1}{\gamma\delta^2}$. Assume now for $\ell \geqslant 1$

$$B_{\ell-1} \leqslant \frac{C}{n^{\nu/2}}\,, \tag{2.21}$$

Integrating by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned} B_\ell &\lesssim \frac{1}{n^{\nu/2-1}} \int_{1/2}^{1-1/n} (1-\alpha)^\ell e^{-\gamma\delta^2 n(1-\alpha)}\, d\alpha \\ &\leqslant \frac{Ce^{-\gamma\delta^2}}{n^{\nu/2+\ell-1}\gamma\delta^2} + \frac{\ell}{n^{\nu/2}\gamma\delta^2} \int_{1/2}^{1-1/n} (1-\alpha)^{\ell-1} e^{-\gamma\delta^2 n(1-\alpha)}\, d\alpha \\ &\leqslant \frac{C}{\gamma\delta^2} B_{\ell-1} + \frac{C}{n^{\nu/2}\gamma\delta^2} \leqslant \frac{C}{n^{\nu/2}\gamma\delta^2}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

where in the last inequality we have used the induction assumption (2.21). This completes the proof of the lemma.

□

Proof of Lemma 2.2.

The following formula plays an important role. For any $z > 0$ it holds

$$\left(\frac{(B\lambda,\lambda)}{2n}\right)^{z-1}\int_{\frac{(B\lambda,\lambda)}{2n}}^{\frac{(B\lambda,\lambda)(n-1)}{2n}} dt\frac{e^t}{t^z} = \left(\frac{(B\lambda,\lambda)}{2n}\right)^{z-1}\log(n-1)1_{\mathbb{N}}(z) + \sum_{\ell\geqslant 0,\ell\neq z-1}\left(\frac{(B\lambda,\lambda)}{2n}\right)^{\ell}\frac{1}{\ell!}\frac{(n-1)^{\ell-z+1}-1}{\ell-z+1}\,. \quad (2.23)$$

The proof is done simply by expanding the exponential.

The change of variables $\lambda \mapsto \lambda\sqrt{n}$ maps the cube $[-\delta,\delta]^\nu$ in $[-\delta\sqrt{n},\delta\sqrt{n}]^\nu$. We can use Lemma A.5 for $\widehat{p}(\lambda)$ and the leading order of the Taylor expansion of $\widehat{q_a}(\lambda)$ to get

$$II = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} p^{*k}(0)\int_{[-\delta\sqrt{n},\delta\sqrt{n}]^\nu}\frac{d^\nu\lambda}{(2\pi\sqrt{n})^\nu}e^{-i\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\lambda}e^{-\frac{(B\lambda,\lambda)}{2}(1-\frac{k}{n})}\left[\frac{i(\lambda\cdot d)}{\sqrt{n}}+o\left(\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right]. \quad (2.24)$$

Thus, writing $t := \frac{(B\lambda,\lambda)k}{2n}$ and noting $dk = \frac{2n}{(B\lambda,\lambda)}dt$, we can isolate the sum

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} p^{*k}(0)e^{\frac{(B\lambda,\lambda)k}{2n}} \asymp \left(\frac{(B\lambda,\lambda)}{2n}\right)^{\frac{\nu}{2}-1}\frac{1}{(2\pi|B|)^{\nu/2}}\int_{\frac{(B\lambda,\lambda)}{2n}}^{\frac{(B\lambda,\lambda)(n-1)}{2n}} dt\frac{e^t}{t^{\nu/2}}\,. \quad (2.25)$$

where we have used once again (2.16). Now we separately handle the case $\nu \geqslant 3$, $\nu = 2$, $\nu = 1$.

Proof of (2.10) ($\nu \geqslant 3$). For any $\nu \geqslant 3$ we set $z = \frac{\nu}{2}$ in (2.23) (note $z - 1 > 0$) to get the leading term. For even ν we have an first correction given by the first addendum in (2.23), vanishing like

$$\frac{\log(n-1)}{n^{\frac{\nu}{2}-1}}\,.$$

Then the second addendum can be conveniently written as

$$\frac{1}{(n-1)^{\frac{\nu}{2}-1}}\sum_{\ell\geqslant 0,\ell\neq z-1}\left(\frac{(B\lambda,\lambda)(n-1)}{2n}\right)^{\ell}\frac{1}{\ell!(\ell-(\nu/2-1))} - \sum_{\substack{\ell\neq z-1\\ \ell\geqslant 0}}\left(\frac{(B\lambda,\lambda)}{2n}\right)^{\ell}\frac{1}{\ell!(\ell-(\nu/2-1))}\,. \quad (2.26)$$

The first line in the former expression is $O\left(n^{1-\nu/2}\right)$. For the second one we have

$$\left|\sum_{\substack{\ell\neq z-1\\ \ell\geqslant 0}}\left(\frac{(B\lambda,\lambda)}{2n}\right)^{\ell}\frac{1}{\ell!(\ell-(\nu/2-1))}\right| < e^{\frac{(B\lambda,\lambda)}{2n}}\,.$$

Sub-leading corrections given by (2.16) are treated likewise, using (2.23) with $z > \frac{\nu}{2}$.

Therefore, proceeding exactly as in the case of the symmetric random walk (see Proposition A.6 in the appendix A), we get

$$II = \frac{2}{(2\pi|B|)^{\nu/2}}\int_{[-\delta\sqrt{n},\delta\sqrt{n}]^\nu}\frac{d^\nu\lambda}{(2\pi\sqrt{n})^\nu}e^{-i\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\lambda}e^{-\frac{(B\lambda,\lambda)}{2}(1-\frac{1}{n})}\left[\frac{i(\lambda\cdot d)}{\sqrt{n}}+o\left(\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right] = \frac{2}{(2\pi|B|)^{\nu/2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^\nu}\frac{d^\nu\lambda}{(2\pi\sqrt{n})^\nu}e^{-i\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\lambda}e^{-\frac{(B\lambda,\lambda)}{2}(1-\frac{1}{n})}\frac{i(\lambda\cdot d)}{\sqrt{n}}+o\left(\frac{1}{n^{\frac{\nu}{2}}}\right) = o\left(\frac{1}{n^{\frac{\nu}{2}}}\right). \quad (2.27)$$

□

Proof of (2.9) ($\nu = 2$). We perform the same steps as in the previous case, but now $\nu = 2$ yields $z - 1 = 0$ in (2.23). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\frac{(B\lambda,\lambda)}{2n}}^{\frac{(B\lambda,\lambda)(n-1)}{2n}} dt\frac{e^t}{t} &= \log(n-1) + \sum_{\ell \geqslant 1}\left(\frac{(B\lambda,\lambda)}{2n}\right)^{\ell}\frac{1}{\ell!}\frac{(n-1)^{\ell}-1}{\ell} \\ &= \log(n-1) + \sum_{\ell \geqslant 1}\left(\frac{(B\lambda,\lambda)}{2}\right)^{\ell}\frac{1}{\ell!\ell} + o(1)\ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

Employing the same strategy as before, we see that the first addendum in the last formula gives a correction $O(\log n/n^{3/2})$. In the following we denote by $x_i' := (Ox)_i/\sigma_i$ where $O^T BO = \mathrm{diag}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ (d_i' is similarly defined) So we are reduced to bound

$$\begin{aligned} II &= \int_{[-\delta\sqrt{n},\delta\sqrt{n}]^2}\frac{d^2\lambda}{2\pi n}e^{-i\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\lambda}e^{-\frac{(B\lambda,\lambda)}{2}}\left(\sum_{\ell \geqslant 1}\left(\frac{(B\lambda,\lambda)}{2}\right)^{\ell}\frac{1}{\ell!\,\ell}\right)\frac{i(\lambda\cdot d)}{\sqrt{n}} + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \\ &= \int_{[-\delta\sqrt{n},\delta\sqrt{n}]^2}\frac{d^2\lambda}{2\pi n}e^{-i\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\lambda}e^{-\frac{(B\lambda,\lambda)}{2}}\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{n^{1+\varepsilon}}\left(\frac{(B\lambda,\lambda)}{2}\right)^{\ell}\frac{1}{\ell!\,\ell}\right)\frac{i(\lambda\cdot d)}{\sqrt{n}} + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \\ &+ \int_{[-\delta\sqrt{n},\delta\sqrt{n}]^2}\frac{d^2\lambda}{2\pi n}e^{-i\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\lambda}e^{-\frac{(B\lambda,\lambda)}{2}}\left(\sum_{\ell \geqslant n^{1+\varepsilon}}\left(\frac{(B\lambda,\lambda)}{2}\right)^{\ell}\frac{1}{\ell!\,\ell}\right)\frac{i(\lambda\cdot d)}{\sqrt{n}} + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \\ &= \int_{[-\delta\sqrt{n},\delta\sqrt{n}]^2}\frac{d^2\lambda}{2\pi n}e^{-i\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\lambda}e^{-\frac{(B\lambda,\lambda)}{2}}\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{n^{1+\varepsilon}}\left(\frac{(B\lambda,\lambda)}{2}\right)^{\ell}\frac{1}{\ell!\,\ell}\right)\frac{i(\lambda\cdot d)}{\sqrt{n}} + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \end{aligned}$$

for a $\varepsilon > 0$, since

$$\left|\int_{[-\delta\sqrt{n},\delta\sqrt{n}]^2}\frac{d^2\lambda}{2\pi n}e^{-i\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\lambda}e^{-\frac{(B\lambda,\lambda)}{2}}\left(\sum_{\ell \geqslant n^{1+\varepsilon}}\left(\frac{(B\lambda,\lambda)}{2}\right)^{\ell}\frac{1}{\ell!\,\ell}\right)\frac{i(\lambda\cdot d)}{\sqrt{n}}\right| \lesssim \frac{1}{n^{2+\varepsilon}}\int_{[-\delta\sqrt{n},\delta\sqrt{n}]^2}\lambda\cdot d = o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} II &= \sum_{\ell=1}^{n^{1+\varepsilon}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\frac{d^2\lambda}{2\pi n}e^{-i\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\lambda}e^{-\frac{(B\lambda,\lambda)}{2}}\left(\frac{(B\lambda,\lambda)}{2}\right)^{\ell}\frac{1}{\ell!\,\ell}\frac{i(\lambda\cdot d)}{\sqrt{n}} + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^{n^{1+\varepsilon}}\frac{1}{2^{\ell}\ell!\,\ell}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\frac{d^2\lambda}{2\pi|B|n^{\frac{3}{2}}}e^{-i\frac{x'}{\sqrt{n}}\lambda}e^{-\frac{|\lambda|^2}{2}}|\lambda|^{2\ell}i(\lambda\cdot d) + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \\ &= \frac{d_1}{2\pi|B|n^{\frac{3}{2}}}\sum_{\ell=1}^{n^{1+\varepsilon}}\sum_{p=0}^{\ell}\frac{(-1)^{\ell}}{2^{\ell}\ell!\,\ell}\binom{\ell}{p}\mathrm{H}_{2p+1}\left(\frac{x_1'}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\mathrm{H}_{2(\ell-p)}\left(\frac{x_2'}{\sqrt{n}}\right)e^{-|x'|^2/2n} \\ &+ \frac{d_2}{2\pi|B|n^{\frac{3}{2}}}\sum_{\ell \geqslant 1}\sum_{p=0}^{\ell}\frac{(-1)^{\ell}}{2^{\ell}\ell!\,\ell}\binom{\ell}{p}\mathrm{H}_{2p+1}\left(\frac{x_2'}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\mathrm{H}_{2(\ell-p)}\left(\frac{x_1'}{\sqrt{n}}\right)e^{-|x'|^2/2n} + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \end{aligned}$$

Now we use (1.8), (1.9), (1.10), (1.11) and (1.12) to get

$$\begin{aligned} II &= \frac{e^{-|x'|^2/2n}}{2\pi|B|n^{\frac{3}{2}}}\left(\frac{d\cdot x}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\sum_{\ell=1}^{n^{1+\varepsilon}}\frac{1}{\ell}L_\ell^1\left(\frac{|x'|^2}{2n}\right)+o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\\ &= \frac{e^{-|x'|^2/2n}}{2\pi|B|n^{\frac{3}{2}}}\left(\frac{d\cdot x}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\left[\sum_{\ell=1}^{n^{1+\varepsilon}}\frac{1}{\ell+1}L_\ell^1\left(\frac{|x'|^2}{2n}\right)-\sum_{\ell=1}^{n^{1+\varepsilon}}\frac{1}{\ell(\ell+1)}L_\ell^1\left(\frac{|x'|^2}{2n}\right)\right]+o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\\ &= \frac{e^{-|x'|^2/2n}}{2\pi|B|n^{\frac{3}{2}}}\left(\frac{d\cdot x}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\left[\sum_{\ell\geqslant 0}\frac{1}{\ell+1}L_\ell^1\left(\frac{|x'|^2}{2n}\right)-\sum_{\ell\geqslant 1}\frac{1}{\ell(\ell+1)}L_\ell^1\left(\frac{|x'|^2}{2n}\right)\right]+o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\\ &= \frac{e^{-|x'|^2/2n}}{2\pi|B|n}\left(\frac{d\cdot x}{|x'|^2}\right)-\frac{e^{-|x'|^2/2n}}{2\pi|B|n^{\frac{3}{2}}}\left(\frac{d\cdot x}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\log\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\right)+o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\\ &= \frac{e^{-|x'|^2/2n}}{2\pi|B|n}\left(\frac{d\cdot x}{|x'|^2}\right)+o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally the sub-leading corrections given by (2.16) can be estimated as in Lemma 2.2, employing (2.23) with $z>1$. □

Proof of (2.8) ($\nu=1$). Once again we repeat the same steps as in the last two lemmas. Setting $\nu=1$ (*i.e.* $z=-\frac{1}{2}$) in (2.23) we get

$$\left(\frac{\lambda^2\sigma^2}{2n}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\int_{\frac{\lambda^2\sigma^2}{2n}}^{\frac{(\lambda^2\sigma^2)(n-1)}{2n}}dt\frac{e^t}{\sqrt{t}}=2\sum_{\ell\geqslant 0}\left(\frac{\lambda^2\sigma^2}{2n}\right)^\ell\frac{1}{\ell!}\frac{(n-1)^{\ell+\frac{1}{2}}-1}{2\ell+1}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} II &= \int_{-\delta\sqrt{n}}^{\delta\sqrt{n}}\frac{d\lambda}{\sqrt{2\pi n}}e^{-i\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\lambda}e^{-\frac{\lambda^2\sigma^2}{2}}\left(2\sum_{\ell\geqslant 0}\left(\frac{\lambda^2\sigma^2}{2}\right)^\ell\frac{1}{\ell!\,(2\ell+1)}\right)\frac{i\lambda\cdot d}{\sqrt{n}}+o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\\ &= \frac{d}{\sigma^2}2\sum_{\ell\geqslant 0}\frac{1}{2^\ell\ell!\,(2\ell+1)}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{d\lambda}{\sqrt{2\pi n}}e^{-i\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\lambda}e^{-\frac{\lambda^2}{2}}\lambda^{2\ell}(i\lambda)+o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\\ &= \frac{d}{\sigma^2}2\sum_{\ell\geqslant 0}\frac{(-1)^\ell}{2^\ell\ell!\,(2\ell+1)}\frac{e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi n\sigma^2}}H_{2\ell+1}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\right)+o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The leading term can be explicitly computed. Using (1.7) we obtain the expansion in Hermite polynomials of $\operatorname{sign}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\right)=\operatorname{sign}(x)$:

$$\begin{aligned} II &= \frac{d}{\sigma^2}2\sum_{\ell\geqslant 0}\frac{\mathrm{H}_{2\ell}(0)}{(2\ell+1)!}\mathrm{H}_{2\ell+1}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\frac{e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi n\sigma^2}}+o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\\ &= \frac{d}{\sigma^2}\sum_{\ell\geqslant 0}\left[2\int_0^\infty dy\frac{\mathrm{H}_{2\ell+1}(y)}{(2\ell+1)!}e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}}\right]\mathrm{H}_{2\ell+1}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\frac{e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi n\sigma^2}}+o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\\ &= \frac{d}{\sigma^2}\sum_{\ell\geqslant 0}\left[\int_0^\infty dy\operatorname{sign}(y)\frac{\mathrm{H}_{2\ell+1}(y)}{(2\ell+1)!}e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}}\right]\mathrm{H}_{2\ell+1}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\frac{e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi n\sigma^2}}+o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\\ &= \frac{d}{\sigma^2}\operatorname{sign}(x)\frac{e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi n\sigma^2}}+o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

Again sub-leading corrections given by (2.16) are treated using (2.23) with $z>\frac{1}{2}$, as in the proof for $\nu=2$. □

Appendix A. Local Limit Theorem for Symmetric Random Walks

We give a self-contained proof of the classical local limit theorem for sums of i.i.d. Consider the sequence $\{\xi_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of symmetric i.i.d. random variables on $\mathbb{Z}^\nu$ $^{\geqslant 1}$, $\nu \geqslant 1$, $\xi_1 := (\xi_{1,1}, \dots, \xi_{1,\nu})$, with probability distribution satisfying (1.1) and the random walk $S_n := \sum_{j=0}^n \xi_j$, that we require to be aperiodic and irreducible. The theorem we want to prove is the following:

Theorem A.1. *There is a $\kappa > 0$ such that the following formula holds:*

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n = x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi|B|n^\nu}} e^{-(x,B^{-1}x)/2n} \left(1 + \sum_{|\alpha|=3}^{L} \frac{(-1)^{|\alpha|} m_\alpha \, \mathrm{H}_\alpha\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\right)}{n^{\frac{|\alpha|-2}{2}}} \right) + \Psi(x) o\left(\frac{1}{n^{\frac{L+\nu}{2}}}\right) + o\left(e^{-\kappa n}\right) , \tag{A.1}$$

with $\Psi(x)$ a bounded function, $o\left(|x|^L\right)$ for small $|x|$ and m_α are constants that can be computed from the first L moments of ξ.

Remark A.2. We stress some features of this formula:

i) the information on $\mathbb{P}(S_n = x)$ provided by the theorem is meaningful up to $x = o\left(n^{1-1/L}\right)$;

ii) it is $\mathbb{P}(S_n = 0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi|B|n^\nu}} + o\left(\frac{1}{n^{\frac{L}{2}}}\right)$.

Let $\phi_\xi(\lambda) := \mathbb{E}[e^{i\xi\lambda}]$, (which is of course periodic) and $\phi_{X_n}(\lambda) := \phi_\xi^n(\lambda)$. So the starting point will be the Fourier inversion formula

$$P(X_n = x) = \int_{[-\pi,\pi]^\nu} \frac{\mathrm{d}\lambda}{(2\pi)^{\nu/2}} e^{ix\lambda} \phi_\xi^n(\lambda) \, . \tag{A.2}$$

First we need a bit of analysis of $\phi_\xi(\lambda)$, provided by the following lemmata.

Lemma A.3. *For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}^\nu$ it is $|\phi_\xi(\lambda)| < 1$.*

Proof. The claim follows by irreducibility. Let us assume that there is a $\bar\lambda$ such that $|\phi_\xi(\bar\lambda)| = 1$. Then

$$\sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu} p(x) = 1 \, , \quad \sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu} p^2(x) = 1 \, , \tag{A.3}$$

which is of course satisfied only by $p(x) = \delta_{x,\bar x}$ for some $\bar x \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$. Therefore, because of spatial homogeneity, the walk gives full probability to one jump and zero to all the others and this contradicts irreducibility. □

Lemma A.4. *There are $A \in (0,1)$ and $b > 0$ such that*

$$\phi_\xi(\lambda) \leqslant A e^{-b|\lambda|^2} \, . \tag{A.4}$$

for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}^\nu$.

Proof. We expand in Taylor series $\phi_\xi(\lambda)$ around $\lambda = 0$, namely

$$\phi_\xi(\lambda) = 1 - \frac{(\lambda, B\lambda)}{2} + \sum_{|\alpha|=3}^{L} \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{\alpha!} \partial^\alpha \phi_\xi(0) + o\left(|\lambda|^L\right) \, . \tag{A.5}$$

Therefore there is a b such that for any $\lambda \in [-\theta,\theta]^\nu$ we have

$$\phi_\xi(\lambda) \leqslant 1 - b|\lambda|^2 \leqslant e^{-b|\lambda|^2} \, . \tag{A.6}$$

On the other hand, the previous lemma ensure us that there is $A \in (0,1)$ such that as $|\lambda| \geqslant \theta_1$

$$\phi_\xi(\lambda) \leqslant A \, ,$$

Hence the bound (A.4) is proved. □

Lemma A.5. *We have*

$$\phi_\xi(\lambda) = e^{-(\lambda,B\lambda)/2}\left(1+\sum_{|\alpha|=3}^{L} m_\alpha \lambda^\alpha\right) + o\left(|\lambda|^{L+2}\right), \tag{A.7}$$

and the coefficients m_α can be can be computed using the first L moments of the probability, namely the B_{ij} and $\partial^\alpha \phi_\xi(0)$, $3 \leqslant |\alpha| \leqslant L$.

Proof. From (A.5), using $\log(1+x) = y - \frac{y^2}{2} + \ldots - \frac{(-1)^L}{L} y^L + o\left(|y|^L\right)$, we readily get

$$\log \phi_\xi(\lambda) = -\frac{(\lambda, B\lambda)}{2} + \sum_{|\alpha|=3}^{L} m_\alpha \lambda^\alpha + o\left(|\lambda|^{L+2}\right), \tag{A.8}$$

where hereafter we are denoting by m_α some coefficients that can be computed using the first L moments of the probability, and may change from line to line. Taking the exponential of (A.8) we get

$$\phi_\xi(\lambda) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\lambda,B\lambda)} e^{\sum_{|\alpha|=3}^{L} m_\alpha \lambda^\alpha + o\left(|\lambda|^{L+2}\right)}. \tag{A.9}$$

At this point we can further expand the exponential

$$e^{\sum_{|\alpha|=3}^{L} m_\alpha \lambda^\alpha + o\left(|\lambda|^{L+2}\right)} = 1 + \sum_{|\alpha|=3}^{L} m_\alpha \lambda^\alpha + o\left(|\lambda|^{L+2}\right),$$

that, together with the (A.9), implies the statement (note $e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\lambda,B\lambda)} o\left(|\lambda|^{L+2}\right) = o\left(|\lambda|^{L+2}\right)$). □

We are then ready to state the following decomposition

Proposition A.6. *Let ρ_n a divergent sequence with $0 < \rho_n < \theta\sqrt{n}$. There is $b' > 0$ such that*

$$P(X_n = x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^\nu} \frac{\mathrm{d}^\nu \lambda}{(2\pi n)^{\frac{\nu}{2}}} e^{\frac{ix\lambda}{\sqrt{n}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\lambda,B\lambda)} \left(1 + \sum_{|\alpha|=3}^{L} \frac{\lambda^\alpha m_\alpha}{n^{\frac{|\alpha|-1}{2}} \alpha!} + o\left(\frac{\lambda}{n^{\frac{L}{2}}}\right)\right) + \frac{R_n}{n^{\frac{L}{2}}}, \tag{A.10}$$

with the remainder

$$R_n = O\left(e^{-\frac{b'\rho_n^2}{2}}\right).$$

Proof. We first change variables $\lambda \to \lambda\sqrt{n}$ in (A.2) and we obtain

$$P(X_n = x) = \int_{[-\pi\sqrt{n},\pi\sqrt{n}]^\nu} \frac{\mathrm{d}^\nu \lambda}{(2\pi n)^{\nu/2}} e^{\frac{ix\lambda}{\sqrt{n}}} \phi_\xi^n\left(\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{n}}\right). \tag{A.11}$$

Then, using Lemma A.5 and recalling $(1+y)^n = 1 + ny + \ldots \binom{n}{L} y^L + o\left(|y|^L\right)$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_n = x) \quad &= \quad \int_{[-\rho_n,\rho_n]^\nu} \frac{\mathrm{d}^\nu \lambda}{(2\pi n)^{\nu/2}} e^{\frac{ix\lambda}{\sqrt{n}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\lambda,B\lambda)} \left(1 + \sum_{|\alpha|=3}^{L} m_\alpha \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{n^{\frac{|\alpha|-2}{2}}} + o\left(\frac{1}{n^{\frac{L}{2}}}\right)\right) \\ &+ \quad \int_{[-\pi\sqrt{n},\pi\sqrt{n}]^\nu / [-\rho_n,\rho_n]^\nu} \frac{\mathrm{d}^\nu \lambda}{(2\pi n)^{\nu/2}} e^{\frac{ix\lambda}{\sqrt{n}}} \phi_\xi^n\left(\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{n}}\right) =: I + II\,. \end{aligned} \tag{A.12}$$

Using Lemma A.4 we bound

$$|II| \leqslant e^{-\frac{b}{2}\rho_n^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^\nu} \frac{\mathrm{d}^\nu \lambda}{(2\pi n)^{\nu/2}} e^{-\frac{b|\lambda|^2}{2}} = O\left(e^{-\frac{b}{2}\rho_n^2}\right).$$

Then we rewrite the integral as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{[-\rho_n,\rho_n]^\nu} \frac{\mathrm{d}^\nu\lambda}{(2\pi n)^{\nu/2}} e^{\frac{ix\lambda}{\sqrt{n}}} e^{-(\lambda,B\lambda)/2} \left(1+\sum_{|\alpha|=3}^{L} \frac{\lambda^\alpha m_\alpha}{n^{\frac{|\alpha|-1}{2}}\alpha!} + o\left(\frac{\lambda}{n^{\frac{L}{2}}}\right)\right) \\
= &\int_{\mathbb{R}^\nu} \frac{\mathrm{d}^\nu\lambda}{(2\pi n)^{\nu/2}} e^{\frac{ix\lambda}{\sqrt{n}}} e^{-(\lambda,B\lambda)/2} \left(1+\sum_{|\alpha|=3}^{L} \frac{\lambda^\alpha m_\alpha}{n^{\frac{|\alpha|-1}{2}}\alpha!} + o\left(\frac{\lambda}{n^{\frac{L}{2}}}\right)\right) \\
- &\int_{\mathbb{R}^\nu/[-\rho_n,\rho_n]^\nu} \frac{\mathrm{d}^\nu\lambda}{(2\pi n)^{\nu/2}} e^{\frac{ix\lambda}{\sqrt{n}}} e^{-(\lambda,B\lambda)/2} \left(1+\sum_{|\alpha|=3}^{L} \frac{\lambda^\alpha m_\alpha}{n^{\frac{|\alpha|-1}{2}}\alpha!} + o\left(\frac{\lambda}{n^{\frac{L}{2}}}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}$$

and there is a $\bar b > 0$ such that $\bar B := B - \bar b\mathbb{I}$ is still positive definite and we can bound

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^\nu/[-\rho_n,\rho_n]^\nu} \frac{\mathrm{d}^\nu\lambda}{(2\pi n)^{\nu/2}} e^{\frac{ix\lambda}{\sqrt{n}}} e^{-(\lambda,B\lambda)/2} \left(1+\sum_{|\alpha|=3}^{L} \frac{\lambda^\alpha m_\alpha}{n^{\frac{|\alpha|-1}{2}}\alpha!} + o\left(\frac{\lambda}{n^{\frac{L}{2}}}\right)\right) \\
\lesssim\ & e^{-\bar b|\rho_n|^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^\nu} \frac{\mathrm{d}^\nu\lambda}{(2\pi n)^{\nu/2}} e^{\frac{ix\lambda}{\sqrt{n}}} |\lambda|^L e^{-(p,\bar B p)/2} \\
= &\frac{e^{-\bar b|\rho_n|^2}}{|\bar B|^{\frac{L+1}{2}} n^{\frac{\nu+L-2}{2}}} e^{-\frac{(x,\bar B^{-1}x)}{2n}} \mathrm{H}_{L/2,\dots,L/2}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \\
= &\ o\left(e^{-\bar b|\rho_n|^2}\right). \qquad \text{(A.13)}
\end{aligned}$$

By setting $b' = \min(b, \bar b)$ we recover (A.10). □

To prove the theorem, it is sufficient to choose $\rho_n = \theta'\sqrt{n}$ with $\theta' < \theta$. In this case we get

$$P(X_n = x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^\nu} \frac{\mathrm{d}^\nu\lambda}{(2\pi)^{\frac{\nu}{2}}} e^{\frac{ix\lambda}{\sqrt{n}}} e^{-(\lambda,B\lambda)/2} \left(1+\sum_{|\alpha|=3}^{L} \frac{\lambda^\alpha m_\alpha}{n^{\frac{|\alpha|-1}{2}}\alpha!} + o\left(\frac{\lambda}{n^{\frac{L}{2}}}\right)\right) + o\left(e^{-b'\theta'^2 n}\right). \qquad \text{(A.14)}$$

Taking $\kappa = b'\theta'^2$ and using the Rodrigues formula for Hermite polynomials (1.6), we obtain (A.1).

References

[1] C. Boldrighini, A. Marchesiello, C. Saffirio, *Weak dependence for a class of local functionals of Markov chains on $\mathbb{Z}^d$*, Methods Funct. Anal. Topology, **21**:302-314, (2015).

[2] C. Boldrighini, R.A. Minlos and A. Pellegrinotti, *Interacting random walk in a dynamical random environment I. Decay od correlations*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, sect. B, **30**:519-558, (1994).

[3] C. Boldrighini, R.A. Minlos and A. Pellegrinotti, *Interacting random walk in a dynamical random environment II*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, sect. B, **30**:559-605, (1994).

[4] C. Boldrighini, A. Pellegrinotti. *Random Walk on $\mathbb{Z}$ with One-Point Inhomogeneity*, Markov Proc. and Rel. Fields, **18**, 421-440, (2012).

[5] Bateman Manuscript Project (A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, F. G. Tricomi), *Higher transcendental functions. II*, New York, McGraw-Hill, (1953).

[6] G. F. Lawler, V. Limic. *Random Walk: a Modern Introduction*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (2010).

[7] R. A. Minlos, E. A. Zhizhina. *Local Limit Theorem for a Non Homogeneous Random Walk on the Lattice*, Theory Probab. Appl. **39**, 513-529, (1994).

Giuseppe Genovese: Institut für Mathematik, Universität Zürich, CH-8057 Zürich, Switzerland.
E-mail address: giuseppe.genovese@math.uzh.ch

Renato Lucà: Instituto de Ciencias Matemáticas CSIC-UAM-UC3M-UCM, Madrid, 28049, Spain.
E-mail address: renato.luca@icmat.es